

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of  
**Gustafson et al.**

Serial No.: **09/528,553**

Filed: **March 20, 2000**

For: **CDMA Internet Protocol Mobile  
Telecommunications Network Architecture  
and Methodology**

Docket No: **4740-070**

PATENT PENDING

Examiner: Mr. Soon D. Hyun

Group Art Unit: 2616

Confirmation No.:4954

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:

☒ e-filed with USPTO

June 22, 2006

Date

  
Kathleen Koppen

**INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Dear Sir:

Applicant submits the following summary of the telephonic interviews conducted between the undersigned agent and the Examiners on June 21 and 22, 2006. No fees or charges should be required for entry of this summary. However, if any fees are required, the Commissioner is authorized to deduct them from Deposit Account 18-1167.

**REMARKS**

The undersigned agent first wishes to thank Examiner Hyun, Primary Examiner Lee, and Supervisory Examiner Nguyen for their time and effort in discussing this case on June 21 and 22, 2006.

The current application was in condition for allowance. However, after receiving Applicant's after-final response, Examiner Huyn noted several typographical errors in the claims and further requested that Applicant delete the phrase "configured to" from claims 31, 34, 36-37, and 52. The Office's stated position was that the phrase "configured to" constituted optional language.

During the interview on June 21, 2006, the undersigned agent spoke with Examiner Hyun and Lee regarding these issues. The undersigned agent gave permission to Examiner Huyn to cancel previously rejected claim 13 and to correct the typographical errors in claims 47, 53, and 55 by Examiner's amendment, but disagreed with the Office that the phrase "configured to" represented optional language. As stated during the interview, the phrase "configured to" does not constitute optional language, but rather, recites positive limitations. Therefore, the undersigned agent respectfully declined to delete the "configured to" language from claims 31, 34, 36-37, and 52. During a second interview with SPE Nguyen on June 22, 2006, SPE Nguyen agreed with the undersigned agent that the "configured to" phrases did not constitute optional language and verbally withdrew that objection. SPE Nguyen stated that the pending claims would be allowed. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully awaits a Notice of Allowance from the

Office.

Respectfully submitted,

COATS & BENNETT, P.L.L.C.



Stephen A. Herrera

Registration No.: 47,642

Dated: June 22, 2006

P.O. Box 5  
Raleigh, NC 27602  
Telephone: (919) 854-1844  
Facsimile: (919) 854-2084